

January 19, 2017

CONSULTANTS · ENVIRONMENTAL · GEOTECHNICAL · MATERIALS · FORENSICS

Mr. Jeremy Bores Kafka Granite, LLC 550 East Highway 153 Mosinee, WI 54455

Re: Mohs Hardness Testing 2016 Construction Projects Schofield, WI AET Project No. 12-02155

Mr. Bores:

This report presents the results of our Mohs hardness testing of one sample of stone submitted by you on January 16, 2017. The stone is to be referred to as "#6 Mint Glitter". Five stones were submitted to our laboratory and one was chosen for testing. The scope of our work in this report was confined to performing Mohs hardness testing on one stone sample.

Conclusions

Based on our observations and analysis our opinions are as follows:

- 1. The overall hardness of the "#6 Mint Glitter" stone is approximately 6.5 to 7 on the Mohs scale. The number is based upon testing values of the overall hardness of the rock using Mohs hardness picks.
- 2. The stone appeared to generally consist of a fine grained metamorphic rock. A hardness value determination of the stone based upon the mineral assemblage was not conducted. Mohs picks with hardness 3 thru 8 were used on the stone. The Mohs hardness picks determined an approximate overall hardness of 6.5 to 7. This hardness is a more consistent result then using the mineral assemblage because the Mohs hardness picks were drawn directly across a freshly lapped surface of the stone.
- 3. In general, rocks are not homogeneous with regards to Mohs mineral hardness. The best effort was made to accomplish the hardness analysis at a representative area within the stone selected. Because rocks can consist of several different minerals with different quantities and different hardness, and the Mohs scale represents the hardness of individual minerals, the Mohs scale should only be used as an approximation when determining the overall hardness of a rock.

Mr. Jeremy Bores Sample ID: #6 Mint Glitter AET Project No. 12-02155 January 19, 2017 Page 2 of 2

Procedures

Our work was performed on January 16, 2017 and subsequent dates. The hardness testing was completed through the use of standard geologic Mohs hardness points and optical microscopy on a lapped hand sample. The review was performed in general accordance with Standard Operating Procedure 24-LAB-004, "Petrographic Examination of Aggregates for Concrete, ASTM C295." Observations were made using an Olympus SZX-12 stereo-zoom binocular microscope with magnification up to 160x.

Photographs are included to illustrate our work and conclusions.

Remarks

The sample will be retained for a period of at least sixty days from the date of this report. Unless further instructions are received by that time, the sample may be discarded. The geologic services for this project have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in this area under similar budget and time constraints. The results relate only to the sample analyzed. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

It has been a pleasure to serve you on this project. Should you have any questions on this report, please do not hesitate to call.

Respectfully,

American Engineering Testing, Inc.

Christopher J. Braaten, PG Petrographer/Geologist MN License #48312 Phone: 651-659-1352 <u>cbraaten@amengtest.com</u>

Attachment: "Materials Test Report"

Reviewed by: American Engineering Testing, Inc.

Gerard Moulzolf, PG

Vice President/Principal Retrographer MN License #30023 Phone: 651-659-1346 gmoulzolf@amengtest.com

AET PROJECT NO: PROJECT:

12-02155 2016 Construction Projects Scholfield, WI



SAMPLE ID: #6 Mint Glitter DESCRIPTION: Overall view of the sample as received.



РНОТО: 2

SAMPLE ID:

#6 Mint Glitter

DESCRIPTION: View of the stone selected for hardness testing.

AET PROJECT NO: 12-02155 PROJECT: 2016 Construction Projects Scholfield, WI



SAMPLE ID: MAG:

РНОТО: 3

#6 Mint Glitter 5x **DESCRIPTION:** View of the lapped cross section of the stone.



РНОТО: 4

SAMPLE ID: MAG: #6 Mint Glitter 5x **DESCRIPTION:** View of the lapped cross section of the stone after Mohs hardness testing. Note that hardness pick 3 did not scratch, hardness picks 4, 5, 6, and 7 scratched a few minerals, and hardness pick 8 scratched all minerals. The general Mohs hardness would be approximately 6.5 to 7.

Client: KAFKA GRANTE, LLC CC: Jeremy Bores The document shall not be provided, accel In Market and the second accel In Market accel In	AMERICAN Engineering Testing, Inc.			4203 Schofield Ave, Ste 1 Schofield, WI 54476 (715) 359-3534 Toll Free: (800) 972-6364 www.amengtest.com	Green Bay 3194 Market St., Ste C Green Bay, WI 54304 (920) 347-1286
Client: KAFKA GRANITE, LLC CC: Jerrenry Bores John Meyer The document shall not be provided in and prior be provided by the prior be prior by the prior by the prior by the prior be prior by the prior be prior by the prior	Material Test Report			Repor	t No: MAT:17-00352-S6 Issue No: 1
Job No: 12-02155 Reviewed By: Paul Michile, Construction Mathematical Construction Mathematical Construction Mathematical Construction Mathematical Construction Mathematical Mini Cliffer Samplei D 17-00352-56 Field Samplei D 6 Date Samplei D 11/2/2017 Source Kafka Granite Material Mini Cliffer Specification None Sampling Method Sampled Vielent General Location Kafka Granite Date Samplei D 1/12/2017 Test Results 2.62 Specific Gravity (OD) ASTM C 127 Specific Gravity (SD) 2.74 Absorption (%) 2.74 Density Determined Without First Drying? No Additional Notes No	Client: KAFKA GRANITE, LI	LC C		reproduced, except in full, without written approval from American Engineering	NING
Sample ID 17-00352-S6 Field Sample 0 6 Date Sampled 1/12/2017 Source Kafka Granite Material Mint Gitter Specification None Sampling Method Sampled by Client General Location Mosinee, Wi Location Kafka Granite Date Sampling Method Result Limit Specific Gravity (OD) AsTM C 127 2.62 Specific Gravity (SD) ASTM C 127 Absorption (%) 2.67 Absorption (%) 1.6 Density Determined Without First Drying? No Additional Notes No	, Job No: 12-02155				1/19/2017 Paul Michlig, CET Construction Manager
Sample ID 17-00352-S6 Field Sample D 6 Date Sampled 1/12/2017 Source Kafka Granite Material Mint Gitter Specification None Sampling Method Sampled by Client General Location Mosinee, Wi Location Kafka Granite Date Submitted 1/12/2017 Test Results Description Method Specific Gravity (OD) ASTM C 127 2.62 Specific Gravity (SD) ASTM C 127 2.67 Apparent Specific Gravity 2.74 Absorption (%) Density Determined Without First Drying? 1.6 No Additional Notes No Additional Notes No	Sample Details			-	
Description Method Result Limit Specific Gravity (OD) ASTM C 127 2.62 2.67 Apparent Specific Gravity 2.74 Absorption (%) 1.6 Density Determined Without First Drying? No Additional Notes No	Field Sample ID6Date Sampled1/12/20SourceKafka 0MaterialMint GISpecificationNoneSampling MethodSampleGeneral LocationMosineLocationKafka 0	017 Granite litter ed by Client ee, WI Granite			
Description Method Result Limit Specific Gravity (OD) ASTM C 127 2.62 2.67 Apparent Specific Gravity 2.74 Absorption (%) 1.6 Density Determined Without First Drying? No Additional Notes No Additional Notes No Additional Notes No Second state	Toot Dooulto				
Specific Gravity (OD) ASTM C 127 2.62 Specific Gravity (SSD) 2.67 Apparent Specific Gravity 2.74 Absorption (%) 1.6 Density Determined Without First Drying? No Additional Notes No			Mathod		Posult Limite
	Specific Gravity (SSD) Apparent Specific Gravity Absorption (%) Density Determined Without Fir		ASTM C 127		2.67 2.74 1.6
I N/A	Comments				